

Message

**From:** christina.jones@lacity.org [christina.jones@lacity.org]  
**on behalf of** san RecycledWater [sanrecycledwater@lacity.org]  
**Sent:** 2/28/2022 10:33:29 PM  
**Subject:** Hyperion 2035 CAG#3 recap  
**Attachments:** Hyperion 2035 CAG Meeting CEQA 101 2022\_02\_24.pdf

Good Afternoon CAG Members, TAG Members, and City Family

To those that joined us last Thursday, thank you for participating! We really enjoyed hearing from you during the meeting. If you have any lingering questions or would like to make any suggestions on how to improve our meetings please let us know.

Please find attached a copy of the presentation from Thursday and below are the final polling results from all of you that participated.

CAG	
Item	Ranking
Climate change/air emissions	6.0
Product water quality	6.0
Emergency planning (including hazardous material handling, earthquake resiliency, and operational reliability)	6.0
Energy consumption	3.5
Ocean wildlife habitats	3.0
Cost to rate payers	2.5
Construction impacts (i.e. noise, traffic)	1.0
Scenic vista quality	0.0

Quick note: to clarify the information presented on slide 35 of the ppt. On a volumetric basis, the energy demand of extracting water in the 98-100% RO recovery range is ~100 times larger than the energy demand of extracting the same amount of water at a RO recovery below 80%. However, the overall energy demand of a 100% recovery RO process is still about 5 times higher as compared to operating RO at 80% recovery.

Sincerely,

LA Sanitation and Environment

Water Recycling Implementation Division

Email: [sanrecycledwater@lacity.org](mailto:sanrecycledwater@lacity.org)

Web: [www.lacitysan.org](http://www.lacitysan.org)

